COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 4893-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 1711

Subject: Banks and Financial Institutions; Consumer Protection; Savings and Loans

Type: Original

Date: January 4, 2016

Bill Summary: This proposal modifies the law relating to consumer credit interest rates.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
General Revenue	\$0 or (More than \$7,100,000)	\$0	\$0	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue	\$0 or (More than \$7,100,000)	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

L.R. No. 4893-01 Bill No. HB 1711 Page 2 of 6 January 4, 2016

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

Estimated Net Effect (expenditures or reduced revenues) expected to exceed \$100,000 in any of the three fiscal years after implementation of the act.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
Local Government*	\$0	\$0	\$0	

^{*}Income and Expenses net zero.

L.R. No. 4893-01 Bill No. HB 1711 Page 3 of 6 January 4, 2016

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Insurance**, **Financial Institutions and Professional Registration** assume this proposal changes the laws regarding consumer credit interest rates. If the adoption of the measure results in a reduction of fee revenue from consumer credit entities, the department anticipates it would expend a correspondingly smaller amount to regulate these entities.

Officials at the Office of the Secretary of State (SOS) assume many joint resolutions are considered by the General Assembly that would require the SOS to pay for publishing in local newspapers the full text of each statewide ballot measure as directed by Article XII, Section 2(b) of the Missouri Constitution and Section 116.230-116.290, RSMo. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. Funding for this item is adjusted each year depending upon the election cycle with \$1.6 million historically appropriated in even numbered fiscal years and \$100,000 appropriated in odd numbered fiscal years to meet these requirements. The appropriation has historically been an estimated appropriation because the final cost is dependent upon the number of ballot measures approved by the General Assembly and the initiative petitions certified for the ballot. In FY 2013, and the August and November elections, there were 5 statewide Constitutional Amendments or ballot propositions that cost \$2.17 million to publish (an average of \$434,000 per issue). Therefore, the SOS assumes, for the purposes of this fiscal note, that it should have the full appropriation authority it needs to meet the publishing requirements. However, because these requirements are mandatory, we reserve the right to request funding to meet the cost of our publishing requirements if the Governor and the General Assembly change the amount or eliminate the estimated nature of our appropriation.

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of advertising the statewide ballot measure related to this proposal within their current appropriation level. If multiple bills pass or if multiple ballot initiatives are validated which require similar advertising at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process.

Oversight has reflected in this fiscal note, the state potentially reimbursing local political subdivisions the cost of having this joint resolution voted on during a special election in fiscal year 2017. This reflects the decision made by the Joint Committee on Legislative Research, that the cost of the elections should be shown in the fiscal note. The next scheduled statewide primary election is in August 2016 and the next scheduled general election is in November 2016 (FY 2017). It is assumed the subject within this proposal could be on one of these ballots; however, it could also be on a special election called for by the Governor.

L.R. No. 4893-01 Bill No. HB 1711 Page 4 of 6 January 4, 2016

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Therefore, Oversight will reflect a potential election cost reimbursement to local political subdivisions in FY 2017.

In addition, the **SOS** states that many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to the SOS for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, the SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the office can sustain with the core budget. Therefore, the SOS reserves the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process.

Officials from the Office of Prosecution Services, the Office of the State Public Defender and the Office of the State Courts Administrator assume the current proposal would not fiscally impact their agency.

L.R. No. 4893-01 Bill No. HB 1711 Page 5 of 6 January 4, 2016

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government GENERAL REVENUE	FY 2017 (10 Mo.)	FY 2018	FY 2019
Expense - Reimbursement of Local Political Subdivisions for special election costs	\$0 or (More than \$7,100,000)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	\$0 or (More than \$7,100,000)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	FY 2017 (10 Mo.)	FY 2018	FY 2019
<u>Income</u> - Cost reimbursement from the State for special election	\$0 or More than \$7,100,000	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Expense - Special election costs	\$0 or (More than \$7,100,000)	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Payday Lending businesses may be fiscally impacted by this proposal.

L.R. No. 4893-01 Bill No. HB 1711 Page 6 of 6 January 4, 2016

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This proposal puts to the vote of the people a change in the law regarding consumer credit interest rates.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration Office of Prosecution Services Office of the State Public Defender Office of the State Courts Administrator Office of the Secretary of State

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

January 4, 2016

Ross Strope Assistant Director January 4, 2016